	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO
3	VOTER REFERENCE FOUNDATION, LLC, et al.
4	Plaintiffs,
5	vs. NO: 22-CIV-0222 JB/KK
6	HECTOR BALDERAS, et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	
9	Transcript of hearing before The Honorable James
10	O. Browning, United States District Judge,
11	Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, New Mexico,
12	commencing on June 2, 2022. Proceedings recorded by
13	mechanical stenography, transcript produced by
14	computer.
15	
16	For the Plaintiff: Edward Greim Matthew Miller
17	For the Defendants: Olga Serafimova
18	ror the berendants. Orga berarrinova
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Robin A. Brazil, RPR, NM CCR 154 Bean & Associates, Inc.
24	Professional Court Reporting Service 201 Third Street, Northwest, Suite 1630
25	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

SANTA FE OFFICE 119 East Marcy, Suite 110 Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 989-4949 FAX (505) 843-9492



1	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
2	Appreciate everyone making themselves available to
3	me this morning.
4	The Court will call Voter Reference
5	Foundation, LLC, et al., versus Hector Balderas, et
6	al., Civil Matter Number 22-CIV-0222 JB/KK.
7	If counsel will enter their appearances
8	for the Plaintiffs.
9	MR. GREIM: Eddie Greim and Matt Miller,
L 0	who's off screen here, for Voter Reference
L1	Foundation.
L 2	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Greim,
L 3	Mr. Miller, good morning to you.
L 4	And for the Defendant?
L 5	MS. SERAFIMOVA: Good morning. Olga
L 6	Serafimova for the Defendants.
L 7	THE COURT: Ms. Serafimova, good morning
L 8	to you.
L 9	Well, I think y'all have consented to
20	me as y'all know, I was supposed to be in
21	Oklahoma this week trying an assault with attempt to
22	murder case, and I think they had some witness
23	issues, so it got moved to July, and so I decided to
24	skedaddle over here and play grandpa for a couple of
25	days, so I appreciate the courtesy of y'all letting



me do this by Zoom and out of district. 1 2 Is that correct that you consent to that? 3 Mr. Greim? 4 We do, Your Honor. MR. GREIM: Ms. Serafimova? 5 THE COURT: 6 MS. SERAFIMOVA: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I appreciate that courtesy. know y'all want to talk scheduling. 8 It's a shame --I think at y'all's end we did offer -- before I came 9 10 over here, I did offer this week since it cleared 11 up, but I understand y'all had some witness issues 12 that kept y'all from doing it this week, and then 13 y'all had proposed dates that are just tough for me 14 because of a busy summer. But let me hear from you, Mr. Greim. 15 We'll start with you, and we'll see if we can bang 16 17 out some time to get together. Like I said, I'm 18 pretty flexible. If your witnesses need to appear 19 by Zoom, y'all need to appear by Zoom, I'm pretty 20 flexible, so if that helps any. 21 Mr. Greim? 22 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. GREIM: Sure. 23 You know, I think in reflecting -- having had some 24 time to reflect on our motion, on our theories, and

25



on, you know, really what the key facts are going to

be, we've had more time since the hearing than we really had coming into it, and also we were able to digest the positions that the secretary of state has had.

I think we can do -- we do need to get together again, but I think it can be short. I know there was a sense that, you know, I had just kind of started with Ms. Vigil, I think, and then we still had Mr. Kurtas and Ms. Pino still to come, and we were even going to have closing statements.

Had you asked me when we were leaving that day and rushing to catch our little plane, I would have thought that we needed probably the same amount of time all over again. In fact, Your Honor, you know, I don't have much more for Ms. Vigil.

You know, our main theory here is really, at this point, going to be with overbreadth based on what Ms. Vigil -- what she said just in the time I had with her. We're keeping our other theories as well. We're going to focus more on overbreadth. What we need, Your Honor, we basically have in the documents, almost all of which are admitted. And so all that we would need to do is finish up a few minutes with Ms. Vigil.

We know that the State wants to then walk



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

through some issues with her, but then I think we're
done at that point. We would submit a declaration
that authenticates the few exhibits that aren't in
yet, and we would move that those be admitted,
basically some emails of Mr. Kurtas, but we don't
need him or Ms. Pino to present this. You know, I
think maybe our time is even better spent just with
maybe making some sort of a short closing, answering
your other questions, and then filing some sort of
papers to address the legal issues that you raised a
few weeks ago.

And so we're looking at cost, expense, the amount of time since we filed this motion that our things -- our data has been down. We just want to bring this to a conclusion, and we really do not want to have this extend out into July or even later. So that's our proposal.

Now, much of June is available for us.

This week, you know, would have worked. You know, my only bad days are the 13th and 14th of June, so we can make a lot of June work.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, could you make today and something tomorrow?

MS. SERAFIMOVA: Your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: Let me finish with Mr. Greim,





```
and then I'll hear from you, Ms. Serafimova.
 1
 2
                           I think my only issue with
               MR. GREIM:
 3
     tomorrow -- I misspoke, because tomorrow morning my
 4
     wife and I are flying to our 20-year law school
     reunion. I am out for tomorrow. We've got to make
 5
 6
     an emergency filing in the Michigan Supreme Court
 7
     today on an election matter. You know, I'm sorry.
     I've also got to go to Washington, DC, on Tuesday
 8
 9
     the 7th for a -- to produce a witness, but otherwise
10
     I'm good.
11
               Just to restate, not to confuse things too
12
     much, my unavailable days are tomorrow, the 3rd --
13
     well, they're today, tomorrow the 3rd, and the 7th
14
     and then the 13th and 14th.
15
               Otherwise, you know, we don't need very
16
     long at all.
17
               THE COURT:
                           All right. Ms. Serafimova,
18
     what's your thoughts about a continuation of the
19
     hearing?
20
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
               MS. SERAFIMOVA:
21
     So I am not prepared to discuss this in June, today,
22
     because that was not -- you know, it wasn't my
23
     understanding that's what we would be discussing.
24
               But as far as witnesses, Your Honor, we do
```



have some testimony to put on from Ms. Vigil, and we

also need to call Ms. Pino. I understand that Plaintiff no longer wishes to call her, and that's fine, but she's on the witness list.

We do have all of the theories, the ones that are briefed anyway. We're not withdrawing any of them, so we do need to address all of them, so we do need to put on our two witnesses that we were relying on to be able to put on initially with the witness list that we agreed to. They may be available in June. I don't know right now. If you give me a few minutes, I can hopefully get some dates for June.

The only other thing I wanted to bring to the Court's attention is if you remember during the last hearing, Plaintiff said that they do have a new, perhaps, alternative theory, and I just want to make sure. You know, I asked them to amend the complaint and to amend the motion. They said they're not going to do that at this point. So I just want to kind of ask the Court that, you know, the motion for preliminary injunction be decided on the grounds that have been briefed and that have been presented to us. We can't defend a theory that we don't know anything about, that we haven't seen, that we haven't had an opportunity to respond to in



```
writing or otherwise.
 1
 2
               But as far as June dates -- the other
 3
     thing is I am currently on COVID leave and will be
 4
     until the end of next week, but after that, after
 5
     Monday the 13th, I can ask for dates from our two
     main witnesses, and hopefully we can -- well, we had
 6
 7
     given -- I'm sorry. I actually might have that
     information. We had given some dates.
 8
 9
     actually, we were originally available on June 1st.
10
     You know, of course I didn't know at the time I
11
     would be on COVID leave. That just came up
12
     yesterday.
               THE COURT: What is your first date of
13
     availability, Mr. Greim?
14
15
               MR. GREIM: I would say it's Monday the
           The 6th, 8th, 9th, and 10th are my first dates
16
     6th.
17
     of availability.
18
               MS. SERAFIMOVA: I'm sorry. Could you
19
     repeat those?
20
               MR. GREIM: Sure. The 6th, 8th, 9th, and
21
     10th.
22
               MS. SERAFIMOVA: Yeah, I'm afraid I'm out
23
     all of next week.
24
               THE COURT: Well, here's what I was going
```

to suggest. I've got to be in Denver on the 6th.

```
I'm training a group of the new judges that Biden
 1
 2
     has appointed on the codes of conduct and on ethics,
     but I don't think I start until the afternoon.
 3
 4
     everybody would be willing to call witnesses -- I
 5
     know you're on COVID leave, Ms. Serafimova.
     everybody would be willing to call them by -- call
 6
 7
     them by Zoom and let me do it from Denver, I could
     do the morning, because I don't think I have any
 8
 9
     hearings.
10
               MS. SERAFIMOVA: So the thing is, Your
11
     Honor, my almost two-year-old was exposed at
12
     daycare, and that's why we're on COVID leave.
13
     also starting to show symptoms. She's quarantining
     until the end of next week, so I have a 23-month-old
14
15
     on my hands, so I'm not going to be able to.
               THE COURT: Well, what do you want to do,
16
17
     Mr. Greim?
                 I mean, you want to force the issue and
18
     set it for -- by Zoom on Monday morning?
                           I mean, based on what counsel
19
               MR. GREIM:
20
     was saying, I mean, my client wants to save money,
     get this done, but just as a professional courtesy,
21
22
     I -- and being the father of five kids, I mean, I --
23
     it's hard to imagine her dealing with a two-year-old
24
     in an apartment there, or her home, while she's
```

trying to do the examination. But I mean, I guess

what -- it sounds like we may have other dates, 1 2 though, I mean, later in the week or maybe the 15th, 3 16th, or 17th. 4 MS. SERAFIMOVA: If you give me a couple 5 of minutes, I can check on the 15th, 16th, 17th. I know I'm available those dates. 6 7 By the way, Mr. Greim, I appreciate the courtesy. 8 9 THE COURT: Well, the 9th and 10th and 10 it's also the 13th, correct, Ms. Rotonda, they 11 expect a three-day trial in that Washington case? 12 Is that correct? 13 MR. ROTONDA: Yes, that's correct, Judge. 14 THE COURT: We've marked those three days 15 off for trial. As soon as this hearing is over, I'm 16 going to go into pretrial conference with them, so I 17 may know a little bit more. Mr. Harrison -- Ryan 18 Villa is the defense lawyer on that, so certainly 19 from my standpoint, the 9th and 10th, if that trial 20 were to go away, would be better than the following week. All my -- my daughter and all my 21 22 grandchildren are going to be in that week. 23 trying to keep that week light. I've not been able 24 to clear it up entirely, as you can tell, with the 25 trial on the 13th.



And I think Ms. Rotonda said the 9th and 1 2 10th and 13th. You pretty much backed them up 3 anyway, is that right, Ms. Rotonda, so they're 4 pretty full if that trial were to go away? 5 MR. ROTONDA: Yes, that's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Give me an estimate on hours. 6 7 What are we looking at, Mr. Greim, so we can try to figure out what we're looking at here? 8 9 MR. GREIM: Your Honor, I'm probably done 10 in 20 more minutes with Ms. Vigil. As far as I'm 11 concerned, we could go straight to, you know, 12 concluding remarks, which would not be substantial. 13 Although the one asterisk is I'm happy to have an extended back-and-forth to talk about the law and 14 the facts, so if we had even a full hour of that, 15 16 you know -- now, of course Ms. Serafimova would want 17 to go through with Ms. Vigil. I think we could get 18 the whole thing done in two more hours. I don't 19 need much more of that time. 20 THE COURT: What's your estimate, Ms. Serafimova, how long it would take? Is two 21 22 hours how long it would take, or a little longer? 23 MS. SERAFIMOVA: I think I would need 40 24 minutes with the witnesses. I agree with Mr. Greim, 25 as much time as Your Honor needs to basically -- for



us to answer questions and -- but, yes, two hours 1 2 sounds doable. If I may. 3 Sorry. I was just going to 4 say --5 THE COURT: So I guess with 40 minutes, we're talking about three hours. 6 7 So, Ms. Rotonda, if the trial in the Washington trial were to vacate on the 9th, 10th, or 8 9 13th, do we have three hours that we could give them 10 during those three days? 11 MR. ROTONDA: Judge, the 13th would be the 12 best of those three dates. We could probably 13 squeeze it in in the afternoon. 14 THE COURT: What time would we squeeze it 15 What would be the slot? in? 16 MS. ROTONDA: It looks like you have 17 something at one o'clock, but it should be done by 18 2:00, so I guess 2:00 to 5:00. 19 THE COURT: Would y'all like to take a 20 2:00 to 5:00 backup? I think, you know, there's 21 always a chance this trial, this Washington trial, 22 could go away, and then you'd have a slot. Could 23 you live with a backup of 2:00 to 5:00 on the 13th? 24 That gets Ms. Serafimova out of her sort of COVID 25 week.



1	MR. GREIM: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: Go ahead.
3	MR. GREIM: I am out the 13th and 14th.
4	I'm meeting with a bunch of clients in Florida, and
5	we've all coordinated to make those dates work.
6	But I'm not sure we need three hours,
7	though. If I understand correctly, I need about 20
8	more minutes, and then Ms. Serafimova needs another
9	40, and then if we have an hour of argument or
10	discussion with you, that's really two hours. Three
11	may be a safety. I don't know if that makes a
12	difference or not, but
13	THE COURT: Is that a good estimate,
14	Ms. Serafimova? Two hours?
15	MS. SERAFIMOVA: Well, I was saying 40
16	minutes for my direct, and of course, you know,
17	there will we need to address whatever Mr. Greim
18	covers with the witnesses, but
19	THE COURT: Let me stick with my three
20	hours, then. Seemed like you were more comfortable
21	with that.
22	So what do we have on the 15th,
23	Ms. Rotonda? I can probably get out of I think I
24	have a grief counselor at 4:20, but I could move
25	



around anyway, with my daughter being in town, so if 1 2 we were to vacate that, does that give us any more 3 time on the 15th? 4 Judge, you have -- the last MS. ROTONDA: 5 thing in the morning on the 15th is a nine o'clock sentencing. That would be done around ten o'clock, 6 7 which would only give you two hours before the lunch If we went into the lunch hour, that would be 8 9 the three, or we could do two hours, break for 10 lunch, then you have a 1:30 sentencing, so estimate 11 another hour for that, and pick up again at maybe, 12 like, say, 2:30. 13 THE COURT: We've got a nine o'clock 14 sentencing. What if we go to ten o'clock, see if we 15 get two, fine? If not, we'll either go into the 16 lunch hour or take a short lunch hour and come back, 17 try to finish up. 18 Does that work for you, Mr. Greim? 19 It does, Your Honor. MR. GREIM: 20 THE COURT: How about you, Ms. Serafimova? 21 MS. SERAFIMOVA: I'm waiting to hear from 22 the witnesses, Your Honor. The secretary of state's 23 general counsel and I are communicating by text, and 24 I just haven't received an answer yet on the 15th.



THE COURT: Let's pencil it in, and if it

```
doesn't work, we'll either get back together, or you
 1
 2
     can talk to Ms. Rotonda about -- I think we're
     looking at a three-hour slot, and I'll try to -- try
 3
 4
     to make myself available. We're just running out
     of -- running out of days in June, but we'll give it
 5
 6
     a try.
 7
               MS. SERAFIMOVA: Can I just -- of the days
     that you offered in July, I'd like to say we can --
 8
     and I know it's a two-hour slot, but July 8th works
 9
10
     with the witnesses, even though they'll be
11
     traveling, but they'll make themselves available,
12
     and July 20th we have a three-hour window we can
13
     make ourselves available.
14
               THE COURT: Those are available.
     Plaintiff is seeking a preliminary injunction. I
15
16
     want to be responsive and not delay it too much.
17
     Let's see -- we'll pencil it in for ten o'clock on
18
                If that doesn't work, y'all call
     the 15th.
19
     Ms. Rotonda and work it out with her, and we'll get
20
     back on the phone, and we'll try to bang it out
21
     together.
22
               Let me ask upon something that
23
     Ms. Serafimova stated.
                             I want to ask a question
24
     about that. Pull up my notes here.
25
               Mr. Greim, are the Plaintiffs going to
```

file an amended PI reflecting just the overbreadth count, or are you withdrawing your retaliation theory? Where do we stand on your theories?

MR. GREIM: Sure. So before we had overbreadth, and we had viewpoint discrimination, and, you know, either content-based discrimination or viewpoint discrimination. We're keeping all that.

The only change there is that I think given that they've now said that they're going to use all the forms, even the old forms are still good. That, you know, it's all about sharing and nothing more, I mean, we disagree with that, but they made that position.

So overbreadth is our main theory. We're going to emphasize that. We'll present our case on the viewpoint discrimination.

On retaliation, there are two things I mentioned. One is the same conduct that constitutes viewpoint discrimination, which we can see in the referral letter, also constitutes retaliation. So a separate legal theory supports that. I mean, it's -- I don't know if it's really -- it's not a different cause of action, but, you know, the separate legal theory would support that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I mean, I very loathe to go back and amend
the PI motion just for that. I think I mean,
we'll do it if the Court thinks it would actually
help. What I think might be better and more
efficient is we'll submit some sort of filing to you
at the end. I think we talked about findings of
fact, conclusions of law. We could also do a brief,
just lay out, you know, each theory and the facts
that support it. When we get to the point about the
referrals and letters, we'll say we have viewpoint
discrimination and content discrimination. This
reflects a claim for retaliation. That's an
independent basis to find the conduct is wrongful.
To me, that is the most efficient and
least hour-intensive way to handle it. There's no
big mystery there about what our claim is.
The other admission is we learned in the
documents we received internally that they decided

The other admission is we learned in the documents we received internally that they decided not to answer our requests, just not to answer VRF requests. They'll have their reasons for that, but I mean, that is retaliation as well.

In this PI, we are not -- you know, we're just really seeking protection so we can repost the data. We're not seeking an order that they also have to answer our requests. We're not -- we're not



doing that in this PI. And so since that's the 1 2 case, we don't need to worry about the retaliation 3 That may be sitting back there. 4 I mean, if we get a preliminary 5 injunction, and they keep not answering just 6 because, we may well be back, but I don't want to 7 dangle too many more things off this. I just want to get to a stopping point and present the theory 8 9 and move on. 10 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything 11 else we need to discuss while we're together? 12 Anything else I can do for you today? Mr. Greim? 13 14 MR. GREIM: Nothing else, Your Honor. Thank you for being available on short notice and 15 16 remotely. We appreciate it. 17 THE COURT: How about you, Ms. Serafimova? MS. SERAFIMOVA: If I may respond, Your 18 19 Honor, to what was just said. 20 Mr. Greim is stating that essentially the retaliation claim is not relevant to the motion for 21 22 preliminary injunction. If that's the case, we ask 23 that that claim not be raised at this point. 24 fairness to us, we haven't had an opportunity to 25 brief it. We haven't seen it, don't know the scope



1	of it. If indeed it doesn't change anything with
2	respect to the relief they're asking for the
3	preliminary injunction stage, we ask that they not
4	bring it up in their in their proposed findings.
5	Basically, if they want to add that to the complaint
6	subsequently, by all means we won't oppose the
7	amendment.
8	At this point, I think in fairness, to
9	allow us to waive the summations that have been
10	briefed and Mr. Greim said that are relevant to what
11	was requested, we ask that that claim be left out at
12	this point with no prejudice to them to bring it
13	later in the appropriate format.
14	THE COURT: All right. Anything else,
15	Ms. Serafimova?
16	MS. SERAFIMOVA: No, Your Honor. I think
17	one of our witnesses has stated she is available on
18	the 15th, and I'm hopeful that the second witness
19	will be available as well. If you give me a second,
20	I think I'm getting I'm seeing three dots moving.
21	So the 15th works for us. Thank you, Your Honor.
22	And, Mr. Greim, thank you so much for
23	understanding my situation.
24	THE COURT: All right. So I'll see y'all
25	on the 15th at 10:00 a.m. We'll try to get it done



```
in the middle of that day, and if not, we'll see
 1
 2
     where we go.
 3
                All right. Y'all have a good afternoon.
     Appreciate y'all making yourselves available.
 4
 5
                (Recessed at 9:25 a.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```





1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	SS
3	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
4	
5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
6	I, ROBIN A. BRAZIL, New Mexico Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I did
8	report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set
9	forth herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
10	transcription of proceedings.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by
12	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in
13	this case, and that I have no interest whatsoever in
14	the final disposition of this case in any court.
15	
16	
17	Robin A. Brazil, RPR
18	Robin A. Brazil, RPR BEAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.
19	Certified Court Reporter, NM #154 License expires: 12.31.22
20	(1473M) RAB
21	Date taken: June 2, 2022
22	
23	
24	
25	

